

CONFIDENTIAL

(M 89-06)

Proposal: [redacted] Received: [redacted] Reviewed: [redacted]  
Awarded: [redacted]

The written record does not support the anonymous, oral allegation we received that [redacted] and [redacted] were part of the NSF evaluation recommendation or award process for the proposal and later award made to [redacted] of [redacted]. There is no indication that either [redacted] or [redacted] played any part in the handling, evaluation, recommendation, or award administration for proposal [redacted].

It is true that both [redacted] and [redacted] attended the [redacted] Meeting in [redacted] which was in part supported by NSF. [redacted] gave one of the formal presentations and is listed as an attendee. [redacted] is listed as one of [redacted] comprising the [redacted] invited to attend the [redacted] Meeting on [redacted]. His address is the [redacted] address in [redacted]. From [redacted] until [redacted] [redacted] was a member of the Board of Directors of [redacted] being Chairman of their [redacted] [redacted] was also a member of the [redacted] Committee.

We took a strict view of the oral anonymous allegation regarding involvement in NSF's processing, awarding and administration of [redacted] proposal. We did not look into the mechanism or source of support for either [redacted] or [redacted] while attending the [redacted] meeting as this was not a part of the allegation we received. Our backlog of allegations requiring evaluation does not, at this time, permit this extension.

[redacted]