

Case No: I91010003
Subject: Interview with [REDACTED]

September 26, 1991

On September 26, 1991, at approximately 11:15 a.m., I, [REDACTED] accompanied [REDACTED] to the interview of [REDACTED] a Computer Systems Analyst within the Directorate of Engineering at NSF. After a short introduction, [REDACTED] was given a copy of the January 11, 1991 Network advertisement section, which included an ad submitted by him for the lease of 900 telephone lines. The ad listed [REDACTED] NSF phone number and e-mail address for response. [REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] that the ad was brought to the attention of the OIG office because it appeared to foster a little business being run from his NSF office. We had discussed this matter previously with [REDACTED] direct supervisor in the Directorate of Engineering, [REDACTED] who had no knowledge of [REDACTED] conducting any personal business on NSF time or with NSF resources.

[REDACTED] was questioned as to why he included his phone number at NSF and also his e-mail address as points of contact for response, when the ad pertained to a personal business venture. [REDACTED] responded by saying that he probably did so because his house had burned down earlier in the year and his telephone lines had not yet been restored. [REDACTED] stated that he received two e-mail responses to the ad, but made no further comments about the ad. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] has discussed matters of keeping personal activities separate from business activities with him in the past. [REDACTED] reemphasized to [REDACTED] the importance of not conducting personal business on NSF time or with NSF resources. In response, [REDACTED] stated that he understood that his personal business must be kept separate from his professional business and went on to assure us that he was not conducting any personal business on NSF time or with NSF resources. In regard to the ad he submitted, [REDACTED] offered one suggestion: that all ads be previously screened by the personnel office prior to their publication to ensure their appropriateness.

[REDACTED] informed us further of his own personal situation. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] mentioned that [REDACTED] went on to describe the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. The latter equipment, [REDACTED] had used this equipment in the writing of several books, which he displayed to us. [REDACTED] added that he is not writing any books at the present time, as he is burned out from his previous writing endeavors.

All matters pertaining to the above issues have been resolved and this case is accordingly closed.

Sept. 27, 1991

